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Executive Summary

This report considers the bushfire hazard located across the Lloyd site and identifies any limitations to development, integrated bushfire and environmental management actions as well as the location and adequacy of emergency response facilities. The bushfire hazard across the site is generally considered to be low-moderate, reflecting the moderate slopes across the majority of the site and low fuel accumulation levels associated with the grassy woodland vegetation that is prevalent. This report has demonstrated that development at the subject site can meet the requirements of Planning for Bushfire Protection (NSW RFS 2006) given the incorporation of a number of strategies designed to minimise the risk from bushfire.

Asset Protections Zones (APZ) are a key component of bushfire planning and the issue which often has the greatest impact on development yields. APZs have been addressed according to the specifications contained within Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 (NSW RFS 2006). PBP 2006 has the option to implement ‘acceptable solutions’ (prescriptions) or ‘alternative solutions’. This bushfire study has placed an emphasis on ‘acceptable solutions’ and where appropriate has identified elements that may contribute to the development of appropriate alternatives.

Generally speaking, an APZ of 15 metres is likely to be required adjacent to bushfire prone vegetation across the majority of the site, with steeper areas requiring an APZ of 20 metres in width. For a variety of reasons, the provision of a perimeter road is deemed essential and it is anticipated that in most situations such APZs can be wholly contained within the perimeter road easement and standard front yard setbacks (6 metres). Therefore, for urban design inputs, it is likely that provision of an adequate perimeter road system will meet setback, access and egress requirements.

Water supply is to be via a ring main system, engineered to the requirements of AS 2419.1-1994 Fire Hydrant Installations (SAI Global, 1994).

With regards to construction, later stages of site development will need to consider the requirements of Appendix 3 of PBP 2006 and AS3959-1999 Construction of Building in Bushfire Prone Areas (SAI Global, 1999).

Specifications for management of ecological bushfire regimes have also been provided, emphasising the need to implement regimes consistent with the Bushfire Environmental Assessment Code (RFS 2006a).

Finally, Council should undertake consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) and NSW Fire Brigades (NSW FB) when the draft LEP is finalised. The purpose of this consultation is to advise the RFS and NSW FB of the proposed land use changes, to discuss any operational changes required due to this change in land use (including additional emergency resources that may be required) and to discuss how the site can meet the requirements of Planning for Bushfire Protection (NSW RFS 2006). In addition, Council will need to review its bushfire prone land mapping (NSW RFS 2003) with regard to land use changes and also incorporating “environmental lands” or other areas that may pose a threat into the future (if not already).
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1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Wagga Wagga City Council (WWCC) is in the process of preparing a draft Local Environment Plan (LEP) for the Wagga Wagga Local Government Area (WWLGA). The draft plan is intended to implement the strategic planning undertaken in the Wagga Wagga Spatial Plan 2007 (WWCC 2006) and will be prepared in accordance with the Standard Instrument (Local Environmental Plans) Order 2006.

Preparation of the draft LEP requires that 8 bushfire risk management studies be undertaken at sites within the WWLGA that are proposed to be subject to significant zoning changes. These sites are:

- Boorooma East
- Estella West
- Lloyd
- Bomen
- Eastern Industrial – Copland Street South
- Eastern Industrial – Hammond Avenue North
- Edison Road
- Moorong Street

Eco Logical Australia Pty Ltd has been commissioned by Wagga Wagga City Council to undertake an assessment of the bushfire hazards and planning requirements for each of the above sites. This document presents the bushfire report for the proposed development site known as Lloyd. Similar reports for each of the 7 additional development sites are presented as separate documents.

1.2 Aims and Structure of Report

This report aims to demonstrate whether the site can meet the requirements of Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 (NSW RFS 2006) and identify potential bushfire constraints to future development of the site.

The objectives of the report are to:

1. Ensure statutory requirements for bushfire protection are met; and
2. Achieve innovative management frameworks across bushfire and vegetation issues which enable long term conservation and management of these issues while facilitating development outcomes for the site.

The report assesses the potential bushfire hazard across the site, in the context of existing remnant vegetation as well as the potential for revegetation. It then identifies planning requirements as per Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 (NSW RFS 2006).

Management of Asset Protection Zones (APZ) and environmental areas are considered. The location of emergency response facilities is mapped and the potential for future emergency response resources is discussed. Potential planning
controls that integrate with Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 are also presented as requirements for staged development.

1.3 Study Area

The Lloyd study site adjoins the south west of the existing urban area of the city of Wagga Wagga, in the South West Slopes region of New South Wales. The site occupies an area of approximately 615 ha and is bound by the Olympic Highway and Main Southern Railway Line to the west, Red Hill Road to the north, and Glenfield and Mangoplah Roads to the east. The southern boundary of the site is delineated by the southern property boundaries of Delaney, Trustees of the Roman Catholic Church and Forster.

Current land use at the site is predominately rural with the majority of the site consisting of mixed or improved pasture, sparsely grazed by sheep and cattle. A road base quarry is located near the centre of the site and represents the most intensive land use at the site. Two water reservoirs occur at the site and are situated on the eastern most ridge line toward the centre of the site.

Land use south of the site is predominately rural, consisting of grazing paddocks as well as some recent rural residential development at Glenoak Estate. The western boundary of the site abuts a rail easement and Silvalite Reserve, and beyond the Olympic Highway is Kapooka Army Base, comprised of buildings surrounded by grass fields and native woodland. The urban development of Glenfield Park occurs to the north of the site while the existing suburb of Lloyd and Jubilee Park (with playing fields) is situated to the east of the site.

The site is undulating with two prominent ridgelines running south through the site before meeting to form a single ridgeline towards the south. Elevation across the site ranges from approximately 328 metres on the ridge in the south to approximately 216 m in the north east of the site. The site drains to the north towards the Murrumbidgee River which is approximately 3 km north of the proposal site.

Native vegetation occurs across the majority of the site as pockets of disturbed grassy woodland and as mixed native and exotic grassland with isolated paddock trees. The grassy woodland vegetation is generally confined to the ridgeline and upper slopes in the western and southern parts of the site, and along the roadside at the western and eastern boundaries. Improved pasture dominates vegetation in the north of the site.

1.4 Legislative Requirements

1.4.1 Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

The NSW Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) is the principal planning legislation for the state, providing a framework for the overall environmental planning and assessment of development proposals. Various legislation and instruments, such as the NSW Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (TSC Act), are integrated with the EP&A Act.
1.4.2 Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995

The Threatened Species Conservation Act 1995 (TSC Act) aims to protect and encourage the recovery of threatened species, populations and communities listed under the Act. The TSC Act is integrated with the EP&A Act and requires consideration of whether a development (Part 4 of the EP&A Act 1974) is likely to significantly affect threatened species, populations and ecological communities or their habitat.

In relation to bushfire, the TSC Act also identifies high frequency fire regimes as a key threatening process.

1.4.3 Rural Fires Act, 1997

Bushfire issues are regulated by the Rural Fires Act, 1997 (RF Act). Both the EP&A Act and the RF Act were modified by the Rural Fires and Environmental Assessment Legislation Amendment Act, 2002.

LEP requirements in relation to bushfire are identified through Direction 19, under Section 117 of the RF Act. This direction effectively points to the need to satisfy the requirements of Planning for Bushfire Protection (NSW RFS, 2001).

Of important note for this development is that the Rural Fire Service (RFS) will have a concurrence role in the assessment and approval of later subdivision proposals. This is by way of issuing a ‘Bushfire Safety Authority’ under section 100B of the RF Act.

The document Planning for Bushfire Protection (NSW RFS, 2006) in combination with AS3959 -1999 Construction in Bushfire Prone Areas and the Building Code of Australia identify the key criteria that need to be addressed in bushfire planning. These are also the key criteria upon which the RFS determine if a Bushfire Safety Authority will be issued.
2. Bushfire Hazard Assessment

The bushfire hazard was assessed for at least a distance of 140m from the subject site (in line with PBP, NSW RFS 2006) in order to address the potential bushfire threat from both within and outside of the site and allow for a prediction of required asset protection zones to hazards located off the subject site. The approach taken with this hazard assessment is effectively a terrain assessment as it has assumed a homogenous vegetation structure across the site (grassy woodland) – in this way it is designed to inform APZ requirements for both current and future ( revegetated) vegetation.

Native vegetation occurs as isolated paddock trees and mixed native/exotic grassland across much of the site with smaller areas of remnant grassy woodland vegetation occurring to the west and south east.

Native vegetation is predominately restricted to the ridgelines and mid to upper-slopes of the site. Exotic grassland dominates the lower slopes and valley floors of the site with native grass and herb species sparse to absent in these areas.

In later stages of development, areas of the site may be subject to revegetation works. To assist in considering the potential future hazard across the site, the entire site has been assessed as containing grassy woodland vegetation, the likely natural state of any revegetated lands.

The following slope categories have been used to identify relative potential future bushfire hazard across the site (based on a woodland vegetation structure);
- 0 = very low
- >0° – 5° = low
- >5° – 10° = moderate
- >10° – 15° = high
- >15° – 18° = very high
- >18° = extreme

When interpreting this analysis, consideration also needs to be given to the relative topographic position of bushland and development. The above categories assume that bushland is downslope from development. As fires burn much slower and at a much lower intensity when travelling down hill, where bushland is located upslope from development a 'very low' hazard ranking is appropriate.

In comparing the assessed bushfire hazard across the site with other environments across the state the site is considered to have a low-moderate hazard rating. Figure 1 shows the relative hazard rating across the site as well as an indication of the required APZs for these areas. The majority of the site is considered to have a low-moderate bushfire hazard rating due to the low fuel accumulation associated with grassy woodland vegetation and gentle topography. A ridgeline running roughly north-south through the centre of the site is considered to have a moderate to high bushfire hazard rating due the steeper slopes present in this area.
Figure 1. Bushfire Hazard Assessment

Assessment of the site is undertaken according to the specifications of the Planning for Bushfire Protection 2006 (NSW RFS 2006). PBP 2006 provides ‘acceptable solutions’ and the opportunity to provide ‘alternative solutions’.

Acceptable solutions are effectively prescriptions that if followed will meet performance criteria. Where these performance criteria cannot be met or a reduction in elements such as APZs or perimeter road widths is desired, there is the opportunity to provide ‘alternative solution’ that may be deemed acceptable as long as they can clearly demonstrate that performance criteria can still be achieved.

Alternative solutions are highly site and proposal specific and are beyond the scope of this report.

The following key elements are required to be addressed in bushfire assessments;

1. Asset Protection Zones (APZs)
2. Emergency access/egress
3. Water supply
4. Construction standards
5. Infrastructure

3.1 Asset Protection Zones (APZs)

APZs are areas located between bushfire hazards and development to provide a defensible space in which to undertake emergency operations and to provide a buffer from direct flame contact, radiant heat, smoke and embers.

The width of APZs is based on a combination of;

- Vegetation structure
- Slope
- Topographic position (i.e., if the asset is above, or below the hazard)
- Fire Danger Index (FDI) (the FDI for Wagga Wagga is 80)

As the vegetation on the site will likely be remnant and reconstructed grassy woodland communities, only vegetation of ‘Woodland’ structure is considered. APZs meeting ‘acceptable solution’ requirements are identified in Table 1 below.

Under PBP 2006, APZs only comprise Inner Protection Areas (IPA). If the minimum APZs identified below are implemented, the majority of buildings immediately adjacent to the APZ will require construction to Level 3 of AS3959-1999. If lower construction standards are desirable, APZ widths should be increased beyond those shown in table 1 below.

Potential ‘alternative solutions’ for APZs may include increasing construction standards of buildings or implementing appropriate fuel management regimes within vegetated areas.
Development deemed to be of a ‘special fire protection purpose’ (SFPP) are required to adhere to stricter APZ requirements beyond those required for residential development. SFPP includes those types of development where the occupants may be more vulnerable to bushfire attack or there are increased demands on emergency services. Such development types include: schools, child care, hospitals, tourist accommodation, housing for mentally or physically disabled, group homes or retirement villages.

### Table 1: Planning for Bushfire Protection APZ Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slope (degrees)</th>
<th>Residential (metres)</th>
<th>Special Fire Protection (metres)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upslope/flat</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downslope</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0 – 5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 – 10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 - 15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;15 - 18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.2 Emergency Access/Egress

Broadly speaking, emergency access/egress relates to the provision of a perimeter road or perimeter trail with direct access to the internal road system. Adjacent to bushfire hazards, the internal road system should be kept simple to allow for rapid access/egress in the case of a bushfire emergency and provide a system that radiates away from the bushfire hazard.

Perimeter road/trail requirements are identified below and full specifications are included in Appendix 1:

(i) Location:
The perimeter road or fire trail often lies between (or within) the Asset Protection Zone and the boundary of the allotments. A perimeter road should be the preferred option where possible.

(ii) Purpose:
- provide fire fighters with easier access to structures, allowing more efficient use of fire fighting resources;
- provide a safe retreat for fire fighters; and
- provide a clear control line from which to conduct hazard reduction or back burning operations.

(iii) Specifications:
- The perimeter road should preferably provide 2 way access (carriageway 8 metres kerb to kerb).
- Comply with the design specifications relating to slope, capacity etc identified in PBP 2006 (reproduced in Appendix 1 of this report)
• If a perimeter fire trail is preferred to a perimeter road, the fire trail should:
  • be located within a perimeter reserve a minimum of 6m wide (4m wide trail & 1m wide cleared area each side of the trail), with the reserve maintained in accordance with the specifications for an Inner Protection Area;
  • the trail should be constructed in accordance with the design criteria established in Planning for Bushfire Protection.
  • the fire trail must be trafficable by fire fighting vehicles under all weather conditions;
  • the fire trail should link into the street network (if applicable) at regular intervals via an access track constructed in accordance with the design criteria established in Planning for Bushfire Protection.
  • the fire trail should be maintained and in a serviceable and accessible condition at all times by the owner of the land.

Should a narrower perimeter road be preferred, this may be possible subject to the provision of parking bays and placement of no stopping signs along narrower stretches, this would be considered an ‘alternative solution’ by the RFS.

3.3 Water Supply

It is recommended that water supply to the site is provided via a ring main system.

The ring main system must be of sufficient pressure and fire hydrants located to comply with AS 2419.1-1994 Fire Hydrant Installations (SAI Global, 1994).

There may be potential for the use of reticulated recycled water to be used for fire fighting purposes, however further consultation with the RFS will be required if reticulated recycled water becomes available within the precinct. The RFS do not currently have a formal policy relating to the use of recycled water for fire fighting activities.

If water supply is non-reticulated then a dedicated static water supply reserve must be created and maintained. The quantity of water required is determined on the basis of lot size and density and is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Development Type</th>
<th>Water Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Lots (&lt;1,000m²)</td>
<td>5,000 l/lot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural-residential Lots (1,000m² - 10,000 m²)</td>
<td>10,000 l/lot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large Rural/Lifestyle Lots (&gt;10,000m²)</td>
<td>20,000 l/lot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 Construction Standards

Construction of new dwellings must comply with the Appendix 3 of PBP 2006 and AS3959-1999 Construction of Building in Bushfire Prone Areas (SAI Global, 1999).
3.5 Infrastructure

This primarily relates to the provision of electricity and gas to the development. These should be located underground to avoid damage by bushfire. Where possible, permanent large lines and temporary 11kv lines should be placed underground.
4. Management

4.1 Asset Protection Zones

The management regime of areas designated as APZs are detailed in the following table for those located in road reserves, allotments and neighbourhood parks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Management Regime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road Reserve</td>
<td>Roadways are to be maintained as paved areas including adjacent footpaths and cycleways. Where they are adjacent to retained vegetation, street trees are to have a minimum 2 metre gap between the canopies when fully grown. Shrubs are not to be planted directly under trees, but may be planted in gaps between trees. Groundcover should be either mown grass, woodchip or plant species that do not grow more than 10cm in height or are subject to a management regime that keeps them under 10cm height. Where native tussock grasses are used these should be in clumps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allotments</td>
<td>APZs located within allotments are to be identified through a Section 88b instrument. Management is to comprise primarily of mown lawns and landscaped areas. No trees are permitted to overhang dwellings and shrubs must be located a minimum of 2 metres from dwellings. Landscape clumps should not be more than 10m² in size, a minimum separation distance of 2m should occur between clumps.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood Parks (where retained and restored)</td>
<td>Neighbourhood parks are to be managed in a manner consistent with an Outer Protection Area (OPA) where they are adjacent to residential areas. Where they are adjacent to schools or other Special Protection Developments they are to be managed as an Inner Protection Area (IPA). It is recognised than many of these areas are located in a manner that provides for retention of remnant trees, management emphasis has therefore been placed upon how the understorey is to be landscaped. Generally, no more than 30% of neighbourhood parks should be subject to landscaping, the remainder of the park is to be grassed and subject to regular mowing. It is recommended that native landscape areas are not placed on the boundary of lots, unless it is where they border a road.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Protected Vegetation

Vegetation that is retained or regenerated is to be managed for biodiversity protection, and as such APZs are not permitted within these areas. Fire is an important ecological process, and as such must be integrated with long term environmental management.

The main factors contributing to environmental management relate to:
- Fire frequency
- Fire seasonality
- Fire intensity
It is important to ensure that fire regimes are varied spatially across the site, and temporally at any one point, the objectives being:

1. Ensuring a variety of interfire periods are present across the site
2. Ensuring that the season, intensity and frequency of burns are varied at any one area

This is referred to as mosaic management and is aimed at ensuring a diversity of life cycles are present across the site and that a homogenous fire regime is avoided that may benefit certain species at the expense of others.

4.2.1 Fire Frequency

Fire frequency is usually presented as interfire periods. The minimum interfire period is the minimum amount of time between fires that will enable sufficient recruitment and recharge of seedbanks. Maximum interfire period refers to the maximum amount of time between fires before senescence may begin.

Short interfire periods encourage species that have short lifecycles (eg annuals and grasses) over species that take longer to reach reproductive stages (trees and many shrubs). Short interfire periods are therefore preferable where a predominantly grassy/herbaceous understorey is desirable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Minimum Fire Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bushfire Environmental Assessment Code (RFS, 2006)</td>
<td>Grassy Woodland</td>
<td>5-8 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Fire Seasonality

Fire seasonality needs to integrate with the lifecycles of native species, and preferably be counter to the requirements of exotic species. As such ecological burns are recommended between the periods of August and January to coincide with native plant life cycles (DEC 2005). However, due to bushfire danger periods it may not be practical to burn over the summer months, hence the window of opportunity narrows to August – November. Occasional Autumn burns may also be implemented.

Burning may also be complemented with slashing of grasses, preferably immediately prior to flowering of exotic annual grasses.

4.2.3 Fire Intensity

Hotter burns are preferable as they may encourage native species over exotic species. However, this will be significantly limited by the amount of fuel available for burning and constraints on burning during the hotter months. More moderate burns are recommended for steeper slopes to reduce the potential for exposure of mineral earth and subsequent erosion.
5. Emergency Response

An assessment of the RFS and NSW Fire Brigade stations surrounding the site was completed (see table below) in order to determine proximity to the subject site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Distance*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RFS</td>
<td>Wagga Wagga, 208 Fernleigh Road</td>
<td>2.6km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW Fire Brigade</td>
<td>Turvey Park, Corner of Bluet Crescent and Fernleigh Road</td>
<td>3.6km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSW Fire Brigade</td>
<td>Wagga Wagga, 36-38 The Esplanade</td>
<td>6.6km</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Distance from the station location, via the current road network, to the closest point of the site.

The location of fire stations in relation to the study site is indicated in figure 2. In the current emergency response situation the Fernleigh Road RFS station is likely to be the first brigade to reach the precinct. This brigade has easy access to both Red Hill Road and Olympic Highway, servicing the north and west of the site respectively.

Turvey Park is the closest NSW Fire Brigade station and would be the first brigade to access the eastern boundary of the site along Wagga Wagga Mangoplah Road.

Consultation with the RFS and NSW Brigade is required to confirm whether existing stations can adequately service the proposed development site (or otherwise) as well as the need for additional resources at these existing stations (and a section 94 – developer contributions plan).
Figure 2. Emergency Resource Locations
6. Planning Controls

Based on the recommendations contained within PBP 2006 the following planning principles are recommended:

1. Provision of a perimeter road with two way access which delineates the extent of the intended development;
2. Provision, at the interface, for the establishment of adequate asset protection zones for future housing;
3. Specifying minimum residential lot depths to accommodate asset protection zones for lots on perimeter roads;
4. Minimising the perimeter of the area of land, interfacing the hazard which may be developed;
5. Introduce controls which avoid placing inappropriate developments in hazardous areas; and

PBP 2001 identifies a series of recommended clauses for inclusion in LEPs, these clauses are suitable for consideration for this study:

Objectives
- To prevent loss of life and property due to bushfires, by discouraging the establishment of incompatible uses in bushfire-prone areas
- To encourage sound management of bushfire-prone areas

When these principles apply
These principles will apply when a council is required to prepare an amending LEP that permits land which is bushfire-prone to be developed. Such land would be identified on council’s bushfire prone land mapping in accordance with RFS (2003).

What a council should do if these principles apply
A council should not prepare the amending LEP unless it is justified by an environmental study. When preparing an environmental study, the council should consider Planning for Bushfire Protection.

If an amending LEP proposes to permit development of land which, has been found to be bushfire-prone, the plan should, as appropriate:
- provide an Asset Protection Zone (APZ) incorporating at a minimum:
  - an Inner Protection Area bounded by a perimeter road or reserve which circumscribes the hazard side of the land intended for development, and has a building line consistent with the incorporation of an APZ within the property
  - an Outer Protection Area managed for hazard reduction, and located on the bushland side of the perimeter road
- contain provisions for two way access which links to the road or fire trail network
- minimise the perimeter of the area of land, interfacing the hazard, which may be developed
- introduce controls which avoid placing inappropriate developments in hazardous areas
• introduce controls on the placement of combustible materials within the Inner Protection Area

The NSW Rural Fire Service should be consulted in the preparation of the LEP which affects a bushfire-prone area.

**Suggested Clause**

The following extract from Shoalhaven Council LEP, 1985 demonstrates how bushfire protection provisions can be incorporated into LEPs:

**Sub-Clause (1)**

In deciding whether to grant consent to any development on land which in its opinion is likely to be affected by bushfire, the Council must take into account whether:

a) the development is likely to have a significant adverse effect on the implementation of any strategies for bushfire control and fuel management adopted by council;

b) a significant threat to the lives of residents, visitors or emergency services personnel may be created or increased as a result of the development or the access arrangements to and from the development;

c) the increased demand for emergency services during bushfire events created by the development would lead to a significant decrease in the ability of the emergency services personnel to effectively control major bushfires; and;

d) the measures adopted to avoid or mitigate the threat from bushfire, including siting of the development, design of structures and materials used, clearing of vegetation, fuel free and fuel reduced areas and landscaping and fire control aids such as roads and water supplies are inadequate for the locality or would result in unacceptable environmental impacts.

**Sub-Clause (2)**

In exercising its consideration of sub-clause (1), the council shall have regard to, and as much as possible, be satisfied that the provisions of Planning for Bushfire Protection, as produced by the NSW Rural Fire Service, have been met.
7. Development Staging

The staging of the development should be considered from a bushfire perspective such as to minimise the risks to the development during construction. Ideally, lots fronting the bushland interface would be developed first and APZs established upfront.

Notwithstanding the above, temporary APZs should be established around each stage of the development and identified in a section 88b instrument, which would then cease once the adjacent stage of the development is undertaken. APZ widths could be identified on a site basis, based on the hazard assessment map (figure 1) which corresponds directly with the APZ categories identified in Table 1. Where bushland is located upslope from developments, an APZ of 10 metres should be applied.

As the bushfire hazard will change during various stages of development, due to the creation of new vegetation, removal of old vegetation and creation of new lots, ‘Bushfire Prone Area’ mapping (BPA mapping), the trigger for assessment under the EP&A Act and the RF Act will also change. It is recommended that Council review BPA mapping following development stages.
8. Conclusions

The bushfire hazard has been assessed across the site and found to be low-moderate, based on the gentle slopes and low fuel accumulation of the vegetation present.

A number of strategies have been provided such that the risk from bushfire can be minimised and further that the approvals process can be streamlined. Further, it has been found that development is indeed possible at the subject site from a bushfire planning perspective.

The main strategies suggested include:

- Ensure adequate setback from bushfire prone vegetation;
- Integrate non combustible infrastructure within APZs such as roads, easements and parking areas. As identified in Chapter 3 the majority of APZs will be able to be contained within perimeter roads and front yard setbacks;
- Ensure adequate access and egress from the site;
- Consider the adequacy of water supply and the delivery of other services (gas and electricity);
- Provide temporary APZs during any staged development; and
- Consider the requirements of ongoing APZ maintenance.

The initial land use map for the site has been reviewed (see appendix 2) and this indicates that standard residential and large lot (quasi residential) zoning is proposed for the site. If this proposed land use is adopted, development at the site will proceed as outlined below:

- Residential subdivision is assessed by the RFS under 100B of the RF Act. Application of performance based specifications identified in PBP 2006 will need to be demonstrated;
- Residential development (following subdivision) is assessed under 79BA of the EP&A Act for all land mapped as bushfire prone. Consent is determined based on specifications identified in PBP 2006;
- Industrial development will need to demonstrate adherence to the aim and objectives of PBP 2006; and
- Development deemed to be of a ‘special fire protection purpose’ (SFPP) (either 79BA or 100B) will need to consider additional bushfire protection measures identified in PBP 2006. SFPP requires additional measures beyond those required for residential development. SFPP includes those types of development where the occupants may be more vulnerable to bushfire attack or there are increased demands on emergency services. Such development types include: schools, child care, hospitals, tourist accommodation, housing for mentally or physically disabled, group homes or retirement villages.

Further bushfire advice and input into the planning process can be provided through the rezoning process and when a development footprint is determined. Further, a formalised bushfire assessment will be required to facilitate the development approvals process.
An initial review of the proposed land use has been completed and specific areas requiring further consideration are listed in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southern boundary</td>
<td>The initial land use map (Appendix 2) indicates that the majority of environmental lands and rural lands (where the bulk of the bushfire hazard is found) are to be located in the south of the site. Any development within proximity of these areas requires adequate emergency access and egress to be provided for.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential-environmental lands interface</td>
<td>In light of the initial land use map, it appears that in the majority of cases, lots along the residential-environmental lands interface will be required to observe 15-20m APZs. Level three construction standards (SAI Global, 1999) will be required for the majority of lots where the building is within 12-25m of the hazard depending on the slope. Larger lots along the residential-environmental interface are recommended. Where setback is adequate, construction standards could drop to level two or level one if more than 25-32m from the hazard depending on the slope.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Appendix 1 – PBP 2006 Perimeter Road Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance Criteria</th>
<th>Acceptable solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The intent may be achieved where:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• firefighters are provided with safe all weather access to structures (thus allowing more efficient use of firefighting resources)</td>
<td>• public roads are two-wheel drive, all weather roads.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• public road widths and design that allow safe access for firefighters while residents are evacuating an area</td>
<td>• urban perimeter roads are two-way, that is, at least two traffic lane widths (carriageway 8 metres minimum kerb to kerb), allowing traffic to pass in opposite directions. Non perimeter roads comply with Table 4.1 – Road widths for Category 1 Tanker (Medium Rigid Vehicle).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• the capacity of road surfaces and bridges is sufficient to carry fully loaded firefighting vehicles.</td>
<td>• the capacity of road surfaces and bridges is sufficient to carry fully loaded firefighting vehicles (approximately 15 tonnes for areas with reticulated water, 28 tonnes or 9 tonnes per axle for all other areas). Bridges clearly indicate load rating.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• roads that are clearly sign-posted (with easily distinguishable names) and buildings/properties that are clearly</td>
<td>• public roads greater than 6.5 metres wide to locate hydrants outside of parking reserves to ensure accessibility to reticulated water for fire suppression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• public roads between 6.5 metres and 8 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbered.</td>
<td>wide are No Parking on one side with the services (hydrants) located on this side to ensure accessibility to reticulated water for fire suppression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>there is clear access to reticulated water supply</strong></td>
<td><strong>public roads up to 6.5 metres wide provide parking within parking bays and locate services outside of the parking bays to ensure accessibility to reticulated water for fire suppression.</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>one way only public access roads are no less than 3.5 metres wide and provide parking within parking bays and locate services outside of the parking bays to ensure accessibility to reticulated water for fire suppression.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>parking does not obstruct the minimum paved width</strong></td>
<td><strong>parking bays are a minimum of 2.6 metres wide from kerb edge to road pavement. No services or hydrants are located within the parking bays.</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>public roads directly interfacing the bush fire hazard vegetation provide roll top kerbing to the hazard side of the road.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2 – Initial Land Use Map